

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,855	07/25/2003	Min-su Kim	SAM-0436	2651
75	90 07/27/2004		EXAMINER ·	
Steven M. Mills			COX, CASSANDRA F	
MILLS & ONELLO LLP Suite 605			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eleven Beacon Street			2816	
Boston, MA 02108			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/627,855 KIM, MIN-SU			
		Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Cassandra Cox	2816	لريم		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			ress		
Period f		<b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provisions of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Moute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	July 2003.				
2a) <u></u> ☐						
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 and 27-40 is/are allowed.					
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>15-26,41,42,46-48 and 52</u> is/are rej					
	Claim(s) 43-45 and 49-51 is/are objected to.					
الــا(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a		•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· ·	<del>-</del> · · ·	• •		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTC	J-15Z.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		· ·	tage		
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_		
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.			
<b>A</b> 44 •	443					
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	(8) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/627,855 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, the Brief Description of the Drawings describes Figures 2 and 4 as showing the same thing. However, the figures show two different embodiments. It is not clear to the examiner if one figure is an alternate embodiment of the other or if the brief description of one is incorrect. In addition, the Detailed Description on page 9, line 29 refers to Figure 2 as describing a sense amplifier having a synchronous reset capability rather than an asynchronous reset capability as described in the Brief Description with reference to that same Figure.
- On page 6, line 18; replace the word "sets" with the word -resets--.
   Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 45 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 4, replace the word "gate" with the word --source--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 15-26, 46, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,855 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

6. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the first controller, and the second controller" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 7. Claim 21 recites the limitation "the first controller, and the second controller" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 46 recites the limitation "the current source" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 52 recites the limitation "the current source" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claims 16-20 and 22-26 are also rejected due to the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 12. Claims 41-42 and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jia et al. (U.S. Patent No 6,633,188).

In reference to claim 41 Jia discloses in Figure 3 a sense amplifier comprising: a first sense-amplifying unit (250, 252, 256, 270, 278), which sense-amplifies an input signal (D) in response to a clock signal (CLK) and a reset signal (Clear) and generates

Application/Control Number: 10/627,855

Art Unit: 2816

an output signal (/S); a second sense-amplifying unit (260, 262, 266, 272, 276), which sense-amplifies a complementary signal (inverted version of input D output from inverter 280) of the input signal (D) in response to the clock signal (CLK) and the reset signal (Clear) and generates a complementary signal (/R) of the output signal; and a controller (254, 274) which is connected to the first sense-amplifying unit (250, 252, 256, 270, 278) and the second sense-amplifying unit (260, 262, 266, 272, 276), sets the output signal (/S) and resets the complementary output signal (/R) of the output signal in response to the reset signal (Clear) and an inverted signal (/Clear) of the reset signal. The same applies to claim 47 wherein the set and reset states are seen to be reversed.

In reference to claim 42, Jia discloses in Figure 3 the circuit further comprising a first inverting buffer (206), which buffers and inverts the output signal (/S); and a second inverting buffer (208), which buffers and inverts the complementary signal (/R) of the output signal. The same applies to claim 48.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 1-14 and 27-40 are allowed.
- 14. Claims 43-45 and 49-51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 43 and 49 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 4 wherein the first sense-amplifying unit (31) comprises a first PMOS transistor (P311); a second PMOS transistor (P312); a

Art Unit: 2816

third PMOS transistor (P313); a first NMOS transistor (N311); a second NMOS transistor (N312); and a third NMOS transistor (N313) having the required connections as called for in the claims in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Claims 44 and 50 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 4 wherein the second sense-amplifying unit (33) comprises a first PMOS transistor (P331); a second PMOS transistor (P332); a third PMOS transistor (P333); a first NMOS transistor (N331); a second NMOS transistor (N332); and a third NMOS transistor (N333) having the required connections as called for in the claims in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Claims 45 and 51 would be allowable because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 4 wherein the controller comprises a first NMOS transistor (N451), a second NMOS transistor (N452), and a third NMOS transistor (N453) having the required connections as called for in the claims in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

16. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1-14 are allowed because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 1 wherein the sense amplifier comprises a first controller (15) which is connected to the first sense-amplifying unit (11) and sets the output signal (O1) in response to a reset signal (RESET) and an inverted signal (/RESET) of the reset signal; a second controller (17) which is connected to the second sense-amplifying unit (13) and resets the complementary signal (O2) of the output signal in response to the reset signal

Art Unit: 2816

(RESET) and the inverted signal (/RESET) of the reset signal; and a current source (19) which is connected to the first sense-amplifying unit (11), the second sense-amplifying unit (13), the first controller (15), and the second controller (17) and responds to the clock signal in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Claims 27-40 are allowed because the closest prior art of record fails to disclose a circuit as shown in Figure 3 wherein the sense amplifier comprises a first controller (35) which is connected to the first sense-amplifying unit (31) and sets the output signal (O1) in response to a reset signal (RESET) and an inverted signal (/RESET) of the reset signal; and a second controller (37) which is connected to the second sense-amplifying unit (33) and resets the complementary signal (O2) of the output signal in response to the reset signal (RESET) and the inverted signal (/RESET) of the reset signal in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Cox whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/627,855 Page 7

Art Unit: 2816

1741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM and on alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 23, 2004

/ /IMOTHYH: CALLAHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800